



Ambient Assisted Living (AAL) Joint Programme

Report on statistics for

Call for Proposals AAL-2009-2

“ICT based solutions for Advancement of Social Interaction of Elderly People”

Date:	17 September 2009
Author:	AAL Central Management Unit (MH)
Version:	1
Status:	Public

1. Call AAL-2009-2

This report presents statistics regarding submitted and ranked proposals in response to the second call under the AAL Joint Programme entitled "**ICT based solutions for Advancement of Social Interaction of Elderly People**". The call was published on 11 February 2009 with a deadline on 5 May 2009. The call had an indicative total funding of 60.9 Mio € that was committed by 25 national funding authorities from 23 AAL Partner States and the European Commission.

A total of 104 proposals were received under this call and 41 of them constitute the ranking list, i.e. these were positively evaluated by independent experts. The ranking list does not yet list the proposals that will receive funding from this call. Funding budgets under the AAL Joint Programme are reserved for organisations residing in the country that committed the funding, and thus, the outcome of the evaluation process does – very likely – not match the available national budgets in an optimal way.

On the basis of the ranking list a budget optimisation process is conducted that reflects the availability or scarcity of national funding budgets. This process will lead to changes in the composition of the consortia of ranked proposals. At the end of this process, a funding list is compiled which is the list of proposals with whom the negotiations will be started if the AAL General Assembly approves the list. Statistics for this final stage are not yet available.


2. Submitted proposals

104 proposals were received in response to the call and all were evaluated. The following table and charts indicate more details of the received proposals:


Call AAL-2009-2 - <u>all 104 submitted proposals</u>	Call figures
Total budget	282 Mio €
Total requested funding	163 Mio €
Total available funding budget	60.9 Mio €
Average quota requested funding vs. total project costs	61 %
Total person-months efforts	34,248
Average costs per person-month	8,443 €
Total number of partners	762
Average partners per proposal	7.3
Average total budget per proposal	2.71 Mio €
Average funding request per proposal	1.57 Mio €

Charts 1+2: Partners and coordinators in submitted proposals

Project partners in submitted proposals (N=762)



Coordinators in submitted proposals (N=104)



Charts 3+4: Budget figures for submitted proposals






Table 1: No. and type of organisations in submitted proposals

Country	Large Enterprise	SME	Research organisation	University	User and other organisation	Total
AUSTRIA	3	16	14	11		44
BELGIUM		1	1		1	3
CYPRUS		6		4	2	12
DENMARK		11	1	2	4	18
FINLAND	1	14	4	14	13	46
FRANCE		14	5	2		21
GERMANY	3	41	13	19	12	88
GREECE	4	31	27	11	7	80
HUNGARY	2	18	1	4	5	30
IRELAND		8				8
ISRAEL		12				12
ITALY	17	35	18	8	9	87
LUXEMBOURG	1	2	1			4
NETHERLANDS	4	24			29	57
NORWAY		4	4	3	3	14
POLAND		9	2	2	1	14
PORTUGAL	1	7	5	1		14
ROMANIA	1	3	1	2	2	9
SLOVENIA	1	1		2	1	5
SPAIN	12	53	46	1		112
SWEDEN		4	1	5	4	14
SWITZERLAND	1	11	14		7	33
UNITED KINGDOM	1	28			8	37
Total	52	353	158	91	108	762

Chart 5: Organisation types in submitted proposals (N= 762)





Please note: The category "User organisation" comprises differing types of organisations with the common denominator to act as a representative of older persons in the context of the project. Among user organisations, associations for elderly, hospitals, municipalities etc. are gathered all together. A definition of the term was indicated in the call publication text.

3. Ranked proposals

Resulting from the evaluation process 41 proposals were listed on the evaluation ranking list.

Call AAL-2009-2 – 41 ranked proposals	Call figures
Success rate (ranked vs. all submitted proposals)	39.4 %
Total budget	117.8 Mio €
Total requested funding	68.7 Mio €
Total available funding budget	60.9 Mio €
Average quota requested funding vs. total project costs	59 %
Total person-months efforts	13,812
Average costs per person-month	8,534
Total number of partners	327
Average partners per proposal	7.97
Average total budget per proposal	2.87 Mio €
Average funding request per proposal	1.68 Mio €

Charts 6 + 7: No. of organisations and coordinators in ranked proposals per country



Charts 8 +9: Budget figures in ranked proposals per country





Table 2: No. and type of organisations in ranked proposals

Country	Large Enterprise	SME	Research organisation	University	User and other organisations	Total
AUSTRIA	1	5	9	3	0	18
BELGIUM		1			1	2
CYPRUS		3		2		5
DENMARK		4	1	1		6
FINLAND		6	3	4	9	22
FRANCE		7	2	1		10
GERMANY	2	18	7	12	6	45
GREECE	1	12	5	3	1	22
HUNGARY	1	8		3	4	16
IRELAND		3				3
ISRAEL		6				6
ITALY	4	8	6	3	4	25
LUXEMBOURG	0	0	0	0	0	0
NETHERLANDS	4	14			21	39
NORWAY		1	3	1	1	6
POLAND		4				4
PORTUGAL	1	3	2	1		7
ROMANIA	1					1
SLOVENIA		1		1		2
SPAIN	4	23	20	1		48
SWEDEN		2	1	3	4	10
SWITZERLAND	1	5	6		4	16
UNITED KINGDOM	1	8			5	14
Total	21	142	65	39	60	327

Chart 10: Organisation types in ranked proposals (N=327)






Chart 11: Relative success of partners in ranked proposals (N=327)



Read: This graph shows a division of the share of a country's project partners in the ranked vs. all submitted proposals. A percentage higher than 0% shows an increase of this share.

Chart 12: Percentage of enterprises against all participating organisations



This graph shows a division of the share the enterprises among all project partners of a single country in the ranked vs. all submitted proposals.

Read: Polish enterprises represented 60% of all Polish organisations in submitted proposals, and represent 100% in ranked proposals. In Finland, there is a slight decrease of enterprises in ranked vs. submitted proposals, whereas in France, there is a slight increase.

Please note: The national eligibility criteria for funding differ quite substantially among the AAL Partner States. Some countries give funding only to enterprises, or the private sector, others are open to any kind of organisation. Please also note the sometimes low reference basis (Tables 1 and 2 in this document). As such, the analytical potential of this comparison is limited.