Ambient Assisted Living Joint Programme

Final project review report

Project acronym:
Project full title:
AAL project number:

Project starting date:
Project duration: months

Coordinator:
Coordinator e-mail:
Project web site: http://

Period covered by the report: xx months / yy months

Reviewer(s):

CMU responsible:

1. Overall Project Evaluation

1.1. Outline of project:

(a short description of the original project idea, the implementation of the idea in the project and the consortium composition, the projects achievements and progress towards objectives and the envisioned future for the project achievements after the project period)

1.2. Conclusion of the review:

(a short conclusion of the project achievements compared to the initial (or revised) goals and the envisioned future for the project)

1.3. Recommendations in relation to the 2-3 years following the project end (potential market introduction):

1.4. Summary of the review:

(review process, quality of the materials provided, consortium's will to cooperate, other)

2. Details

2.1. Outcome in technology innovation:

(Final evaluation of innovation achieved in technologies. Advancements since the start of the pro0ject. Comparison with expected results and risks analysis as reported in the DoW)

2.2. Outcome in addressing end-user demands:

(Final evaluation of the project's achievements on the actual satisfaction of end-users and potential customer needs. Comments on end-users' concrete direct and indirect involvement. Comparison with indicators, risks analysis, as reported in the DoW)

2.3. Outcome in integration of technology in service-delivery:

(Final evaluation of the integration of technology aimed at implementing new services with a high market potential. Comparison with expected results and risks analysis as reported in the DoW)

2.4. Outcome in value-creation models of AAL:

(Did the consortium define the correct value proposition? Was this properly validated and evaluated? Is there a novelty foreseen in the proposed overall model?)

2.5. Applied ethics:

(Have the ethical aspects been foreseen and respected with what is proposed in the DoW? Have some guidelines been developed and legal issues taken in consideration? What is the framework related to ethics developed by the consortium?)

2.6. Technical management of the project:

(Is there evidence of meaningful technical cooperation and integration between all the partners? Are the resources adequate and enough to complete the remaining tasks?)

2.7. Project collaboration and communication aspects:

(Do you identify any conflicts or evidence of underperforming partners or lack of commitment of any partners? Is internal communication functioning properly?)

2.8. Resource utilization description and assessment:

(On the basis of the information received, were the resources adequate and enough to complete the remaining tasks? Do you see any over or underspending partner in the consortium at the end of the project? Were there any critical issues that have affected the proper implementation of the foreseen activities?)

2.9. Deviations from plans in management issues:

(Have there been major deviations from the original management plan? Have risks been properly anticipated/managed and contingency risks taken in consideration? Have these risks affected the proper implementation/conclusion of the project?)

2.10. IPR issues:

(Have the project participants taken in consideration appropriate Intellectual Property Rights issues? Have some decisions/actions been taken? Have the remarks of the reviewers by the time of the mid-term review been taken in consideration?)

2.11. Business models' maturity:

(Is there any concrete and viable business plan in place? Are the *unique selling proposition* and the exploitation strategy still relevant? Is the whole plan mature enough to secure a quick going to market?)

2.12. Dissemination:

(Have the project participants disseminated project results and information as foreseen in the DoW and the plan for dissemination and use of knowledge (publications, conferences, stakeholders' engagement and others?)

2.13. Impact assessment:

(Which is the impact of the project's solution(s)/products/services/results in terms of improvement of the quality of life of the end-users, at this stage of the project? Which are the social and ethical impacts?)

Name(s) of reviewers(s):

Date and Place:

Signature(s):